

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,189	10/10/2003	Yasushi Nakazato	243741US2DIV	1268
22850	22850 7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN	
.,	DUKE STREET EXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2852	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/682,189	NAKAZATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Susan S. Lee	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuf.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 November 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)∐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-82</u> is/are pending in the apulation 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-50,59-75,78 and 80</u> is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>76,77,81 and 82</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>51-58 and 79</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. allowed. ed. to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the s	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/04.</li> </ol>	O/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Pate  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) <u>Continuation Sheet.</u>				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: consideration of papers filed 2/4/05, 1/14/05, and 12/13/04 (2 sheets)...

Application/Control Number: 10/682,189

Art Unit: 2852

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 51-58, 79, and 81 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claim 51, line 11, "the light beams" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 57, line 4, "the plurality of image forming cartridges" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 79, line 2, "said photoconductive drum" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 81, line 7, "said slot" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jakobson (3,992, 093).

Jakobson discloses an apparatus for preparation of copies with a housing 23 (note column 8, lines 7-8) in Fig. 8; a light source 39 and mirror lens systems 8, 9 read on the instant invention's means for transmitting an image since the light beam in the form of an image beam 67 is deflected from an original through an exposure slot 25 to and from the mirrors lens 8, 9 via the angular reflector 41 as an image beam 68 to an image slot 42 on to a receptor element. The image slot 42 read on the instant

Art Unit: 2852

invention's slot. The receptor element or selenium drum 26 reads on the instant invention's means for receiving and transferring the image because it has an exposure position and a transfer position at the position where the sheet goes between the drum 26 and corona charging device 70 in Fig 8. Note column 6, lines 23-50; fig. 7 and fig. 8. The driving arrangement 11 is used to align the exposure position with the beam by

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

moving mirrors 8, 9 to and fro in the direction of arrow 12. Note column 5, lines 13-29.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 81 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauch et al. (5,278,587) in view of Webb et al. (6,133,932).

Strauch et al. discloses a housing (color electrophotographic printing machine, note column 2, lines 44-47); ROS systems 10, 12, 14, and 16 each generating a modulated laser beam to create image exposures or image areas  $I_1 - I_4$  on a photoreceptor belt 18; and holes 23, 24 located on ends of the photoreceptor belt 18 that read on the instant invention's slot since the laser beam from each ROS systems goes through the slots 23, 24 when the belt moves past the scanning of the beams. The accuracy of skew adjustments of the ROS systems can be done with the help of the image exposing laser beams going through slots 23, 24 and detectors 21 and 22. Note column 3, line 43-column 4, line 28.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,189

Art Unit: 2852

Strauch et al. differs from the instant invention by not disclosing means and method of transferring the image from a transfer position.

Webb et al. discloses it is well known in the art in a xerographic color image printing system where there is a multiple color image separations produced on a photoreceptor, that in order to have a final hard copy produced on a sheet or medium, the image separations have to be developed with toner powder and transferred from the photoreceptor to a substrate sheet, then the image toner is fixed with heat to permanently affix the powder image thereon. Note column 1, lines 26-65.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Strauch et al. with that of Webb et al. so that a hard copy can be produced from the electrophotographic printer.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 15-17 of remarks/arguments, filed 11/24/04, with respect to claims 64-67 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 102(e) of claims 64-67 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2852

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 43-75 and 78-80 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2137. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136 or 571-272-2800 (Ext. 52). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,189 Page 6

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852

sl